CBI

December 6, 1963

Mr. J. E. Curry Chief of Police Dallas, Texas

Sir:

The subject of tie letter is to inform you of an interview/interrogation conducted by two FVI sents involving my acquaintance with Jack Loan Ruby (Pubenatain). This interview took place in the Identification Perseu from about 3:60 pm until elout 5:16 pm. I take involved the egents and mywelf point to the Pocordo Burous, and the Jail Office. The agents showed me their identification. I did not think to copy their marcs on paper, but I believe one was mance "Canady" and the other "Carlton." I called the FVI office and trice to determine their marcs in full, later. I was told they were not in at that tire, but would be back in the morning. I do removable that they sold they were called in from the Sam Antonio Office.

I can describe them as follows:

- #1 About 5-9, Fed-brown hair, blue eyes, fair complexion, modium build, about h5 years old. Sold he was torn in South Caroline.
- #2 About 5-10, holding gray-brown hair, brown eyes, modiumhoury build, shout 50 years old. Appeared to be the senior agent, by his conduct.

They began the interview by informing me that I did not have to answer any of their questions, and that my answers were subject to review for verseity.

We went to the I. D. dark room for the interview. They told me that they were investigating Jack Ruby, and were speaking to several officers about the man. Then they read me a statement allered to have been taken from the Dollas County District Attorney's files pertaining to an arrest I made of Jack Fuby in December, 195h, for a liquor law violation. In the alleged statement, they stated the case was dismissed against Ruby by Mr. Lem Brotherton as the result of statements by the arresting officers. Plankenship and Carlson. because the officers were unable to state that they definitely saw a natron consuming from a partly consumed bottle of beer on her table in the ease mentioned. The ease involved permitting consumption on licensed premises after hours, that is at 1:30 am on a Sunday morning. They asked me if I recall making this statement to Mr. Frotherton. I stated I did not recall making the statement. They also alleged that the statement by Er. Brotherton showed that the officers stated they did not even know a case had been filed. They asked no if this was true. I stated I could not recall having made this statement. I then advised them that we should look at the case report, wherein I should be able to tell them if I typed the report, because I believe I could recognize my own typing, because of certain poculiarities in the form and language, which I could possibly identify as my own. I left them in the dark room, and not the jacket. As I started back to the dark room, I asked Lt. Knight if he knew I was being interrogated by the F. R. I. I then told him they were asking me about Jack Buby, and I asked him if I was authorized to rown any statements. It. Knight informed me that I was to cooperate with them, but not to give them snything from our files, unless I got permission from the Chief's office.

I went back into the dark room and showed then the case report, and identified it tentatively as my typing, from certain reculiarities, which I pointed out. They saked no again if I was instrumental in any way in having the case dismissed slope lines indicated by the alleged statements of Mr. Protherton. I again informed them that I did not recall any such conversation with Mr. Protherton in the manner outlined by them. They asked me then if there was any way a case could have been filed against my wishes. I told them. "No. not likely". I did inform them that on the face of the case report it indicated that the report was filed by a "Johnson" with an "Andrews." I claborated on this and suggested this was probably Fgt. E. T. Johnson, who was working in the Vice Sound at this time as I recalled. I explained to thom about the procedure of another officer frequently filing cases by appearing at the D. A.'s office to sign the Information and the Co-plaint for the officer who makes the case report; and that the authority to sign the Information was barod on the officer's case report, in which he alleges the nature of the violation as he observed it, and made the arrest. They then asked me if Mr. Protherton's statement as they renorised it to me as a "followbood." I stated again that I could not say Mr. Brotherton's statement as they reported it was falso, that Fr. Plankenship may have conversed with Er. Protherton, or possibly I did. and when asked specifically if such or such was the case regarding the cylicance, he or I, or both of us may have made a statement which made the case appear to him to be unsuitable for prosecution. I stated that in any case the decision whether or not to try o case was up to the D. A. and not the Police Pepartment, and in no way would this officer or Mr. Blankenship recommen dismissal of any case for some point of evidence, or for any point without referring the matter to our superior for him to handle. I told them a more

detailed study of the whole case would have to be made by referring to the Paccords Pursus, to make a dotermination as to whether or not the allayed concurant yes arrested or not. If the consumer was not arrested, which could have been the case, then a study of the SSS files would be necessary to determine if an afficient was taken from the consumer in which it would be demonstrated the admitted concurring beer on the premiors in wicelation of the law. I also pointed out that the charged alleged on the case report ruferred to the Criminal Code, which we would have to look up to be certain that was that they was actually charged with, as it appeared on the surface.

We went to the Peccres Pureau and checked the files there on the names of the two alleged witnesses which agreemed on the arrest report, which they stowed me they had a come of in their repression. A cheek of the Fecords Puresu files showed nothing on the two pirls, named Schultz and O'Frien. I then ook them to the Joil Office, where I obtained the Jail Copy of Vermen's Statutes. I looked up the specific code with which Ruby was charged, Section II article 667-19, paragraph 16. It is the "catch-all" code in the malt liquor section, in which it states the lieuntee can be charged for violating any section of the Liquor Law, including Liquor Board regulations. They copied the information from the book. They asked me if there was a torsibility that this could have led to the dismissal of the case, because of insufficient information or swidence. I told them I would not presume to state the fine legal points involved, and that this was the proper duty of the D. A.'s office. I stated that it appeared to me that one or both of us (Flankenskin and I) observed the beer on the table at 1:37 am on a Sunday merming, which as I understood the law, was a violation

of the Liquor Tow. I stated that it was possible Elemkenship saw the girl connecting, or holding the plant to ben lips, with beer in it, but in any care the not allowed to have been a wilelation appeared to set to be covered by the particular stateto, or at least we must have thought so at the time, or we would have never note the present.

I then had made a cory of the case report in the Eccords Bureau for the agents. We returned to the T. D. Purcau. They had asked me before, and while conducting our tour, about how well I know Jack Puhr. I told them he and I have known each other rince I first not him while I first was assigned to the Vice Schad in early 10%. They asked me how I not him. I told them to the bost of my recollection in. Plankenship introduced me to him during the course of a routine check of Jack Buby's premises, either at the Silver Club or the Club Yeres. They exectioned me about the degree of friendship I had with him, and whether or not I accepted enything from him. I suppose they were referring to weether or not I was "free-loading" on Puby. I asked them if this is what they meant. They cave me the impression that this was exactly what they reant. I then told them I would have to tell then in detail about my relationship with Ruby , including my activities centered around him. I explained to them that I did not cultivate his friendship, and that the record clearly reflects I arrested him when I believed he had violated the law, and that I never led Buby to assume that I would not do otherwise, if I causht him in wielstion. I wointed out to them that I liked Ruby for subjective reasons. I told then that I checked his record carefully after the remorts from the FPI and IPS reached this department resulting from our arrest of him. I saw, and demonstrated, where Ruby had no prior criminal

record as of the time I arrested him. I explained this satisfied me that he was not a criminal as the record reflacted. I told them that I dropped in on Puby on official business, as part of routine Vice Squad checks of his premiser, and I occasionally dropped by his place and drask a beer. I also stated that when I was not on duty, or there to talk to Jack on official business, I visited Eubr, and he would not allow me to pay for my boer, which amounted to one or two at most. I explained that I would have offered Jack Ruby the same courtesy if he were a suest in my home, which he never was, but which I would have not objected to if he was. I told them they could draw their our corclusions as to my relationship with Puby. I cited that Ruby's subsequent arrests for a misdemeanor or two was not sufficient for me to break all association with the man, the same as it would be for any other of my friends or acquaintances. They asked me if I over paid the cover charge while I was a ruest of Jack Ruby in his cotablishment. I stated I did not pay a cover charge to widit with Jack Ruby, but as I indicated I considered it a social affair. I was not interested in the show as much as I was in visiting Puby. I further amplified the cituation by informing them that one might while Wlankenship and I were making a routine check of the Filter Club on Fo. Erway a fight broke out in the joint, which Jack belied us to quell, and which could have been serious to us tocause the marticipants attempted to attack us with heer bottles. I felt a sense of gratitude for Echy's belp.

They selved me how many times I had visited buly. I told them about two or three in all in the part five or nore years. They asked me when the last time was. I told them about the weeks before the devaled incident. They

requested I tell them what I did and observed. I told them I took a friend of mine to the Coronsel on a Saturday night shout 11:30 pm, after I got off duty, where I vanted to introduce him to Puby, and for us to drink a beer. When I not there I arred for Jack, and identified myrelf as an old friend, and a police officer. The doorman told me to racs with my guest, and that Jack was in the back. We went to the back and found Jack talking to some of his enplevecs. I introduced my friend to Jack. Jeck, in his customery manner, was in a hurry to go eat, and apologized for having to leave. He stated he hadn't caten all day, and wented to est refere it was too late. He took us out to the club area, and instructed a waitress to seat us at a table and get us what we wanted. "These guys are my guests." Jack spolorized agin and left. By friend and I sat down, drank two boors each, watched the show and left when Jack did not come had by this time. I wanted to introduce Jack to my friend because he is a professor of psychology, and I thought he would be interested in Jack's personality, which has sluage interested no. The acoust told no it was necessary to identify the friend, which I did. He is Nr. Lewis Ponney, instructor of "sychology at North Texas State University, the con of the professor in charme of the department, Br. Herle Porney. We had been discussing certain versenality trees proviously, and I invited him to Ballas to observe the werkings of our department, and to meet cortain people I know who I falt illustrated a certain type of personality. Jack Ruby was one of these people. I had not seen Jack for a year prior to this might. We left the Carousel and went to other places until closing time. Then we went to the El Fenix on Mc Kinney and sat with Al Fartinez until three inthe norming (Sunday). We discussed the people we net, and the nature of their behavior. -owis had to drive back to Denton, so I led Mir to the route back, then went home.

The agents asked some more questions at out Fully's personality. I told them I

considered him very interesting, and very unique. They asked me if I believed he was mentally ill. I teld them I had made no observations which would lead me to this consluston, but that I felt he was very segressive, and not to become detailed, very interesting as a study. They saled no about his agreessiveness, and I teld them the record of his fighting ability spoke for itself. They said consetting atour relating to Jack that led to a conversation in which I teld them I investigated Jack Puby from the sepect of whether or not be was a horosemul, and I bid in fact discussed this subject I could get. I did thin as a later meant to natisfy my mind, and to observe the recedition to my question. I are some reasonably certain that Jack Puby was not a horosemul, as could be determined by my investigation, and in late of any other evidence,

The arents then changed the subject to the security measures around the department during "Gendal's presence, and more specifically while he was being trensferred. They arised re I believed an officer had passed Jack into the building. I told than it was not in my poser to even speculate on this subject. They asked me where I was then the Gendal-Tely incident tode place. I told them I was at home, and heard it on the news. Letter, at three o'clock, I reported on duty. They arised me more questions about recurity measures. I told then that this building is a public building, frostly accessful to the public, and that I had no knowledge of what the orders to the officers sentened to encore the building wase. They then asked me, I'll the orders were to prevent entranced or words to this effect, did I know of any officer who would allow Tely to enter. I told then this was not in my power to annum, but that I helicove no officer would knowledge by is order. Then I expressed displassure at this line of questioning. I felt it was out of order, and in any case beyond my knowledge to annum.

c. 10

The agent described as number two, made an applicave for having to ask this and certain other questions, but stated he was asking all the officers he interviewed the same questions, and considering the reriousness of the matter felt it was a necessary duty, etc. Then I was asked if I knew of any other officers friendly, or accuminted with Jack Ruby. I told them I refuse to answer this question. I told them I would answer any question they asked me pertaining to me, and to incidents involving people I was with, but that I would not tell them of any other officer I may have been talking to Ruby, in the light of the question as it was presented. I told them if in any case I had the remotest suspission naming snyone would be witally important to helping them. then I would not hesitate to make the individual. But, to infer a relationship based on a chance observation would be improver for me. I could state that Jack was known by many officers bore, and to name some and not name others would be unfair, to say the least, not only to confounding the investigation, but to the officers concerned, whose duty is to come forward if they have any informstion to give to the FPI, but not to be manual just because I happened to remember seeing them in Ruby's presence. They restated the question, but it was essentially in the same frame of reference. I told them I would tell them enything about aveelf and Jack Ruby, and would have to refer them elsewhere about others. but in any case declined to enswer in any manner, since I feel it is the duty of the other officers to tresent themselves for ougstioning if a request is made by the FPI.

They affect me, or a conversation was started about the general picture as it stood with Puty's act, and how it fouled the investigation of Oswald. I told then I agreed that Ruty's act was very detrimental to the proper investigation of Oswald, and for that I condern Puty. I also asked then if they suspected any connection, or comprisely involving Ruby that would make it a much more

matter. They did not commit themselves to any answer, but restated that they had to investigate from all angles. They asked mu if these was smything about the investigation that I observed and wished to comment about. I told then I was interested in the object of how advised a polymorph test on Reby would be to determine whether or not 'e was part of a compilarcy, or actual on his own discordered inpulses. I told them I was very much interested in making this determination for my own satisfaction, since I had nower heard sack buty discuss politics, or may adject of a decree nature then consthins trivial, and if he were a part of some sinister bedyround it two professionally interesting to me to learn, since I would have misjedeed Emby's character, and I meede to beam alout these things.

As they finded up taking mates, and more presents to leave, I epolarized for not being all at to recell more facts about the arrest I more of July, and the outcome of the case we filed sections bin. I also employized for not feeling it proves to assure the occeptant is fused to even constant on. They stated they were satisfied at this time. I take the I would not moreally allow spraif to be questioned this may referring to my billed that a supervisor should be present to lear the interregation. Day misinter-roted my statement, and took it to mean that I would not be absolubely from with them. I chaffied myself to the extent that I stated I felt meansy about that type of interview since a matter as prove as this made it important to me to respind correlally, but in any case truthfully. I ment as I stated shows, that I feel in the future core Police Forestream repreviers should be present as a witness not only to what I say, but as to the creations and statements of the creats.

I respectfully request for an order to insist that in the future, If I am to be interviewed in this or any other matter by any outside aroner I proceed with

the immediators to the Pier's office for a proper and respectful review of proceduren to be used, and Police Department officials to be present during the interview. It was with the view in mind that I recorded to display what had transpired. He refered so to sake this report immediately.

There were other thinns reid, by the sentr, which I cannot recall in detail at this time. There were other questions. I cled then as they were leaving if anything was said that was contradictor; to places contact as for clarification, since I did not concluding tunes by recory on more of the retters.

Respectfully submitted,

E E CANL'UE